

# EDGAR ROAD, WEST DRAYTON - PETITION REQUESTING A PARKING MANAGEMENT SCHEME

<b>Cabinet Member(s)</b>	Councillor Keith Burrows
<b>Cabinet Portfolio(s)</b>	Cabinet Member for Planning, Transportation and Recycling
<b>Officer Contact(s)</b>	Steven Austin Residents' Services Directorate
<b>Papers with report</b>	Appendix A

## **1. HEADLINE INFORMATION**

<b>Summary</b>	To inform the Cabinet Member that the Council has received a petition from residents of Edgar Road, West Drayton asking for a Parking Management Scheme
<b>Contribution to our plans and strategies</b>	The request can be considered as part of the Council's strategy for on-street parking.
<b>Financial Cost</b>	There are none associated with the recommendations to this report.
<b>Relevant Policy Overview Committee</b>	Residents' and Environmental Services.
<b>Ward(s) affected</b>	Yiewsley

## **2. RECOMMENDATION**

**Meeting with the Petitioners, the Cabinet Member:**

- 1. Discusses with petitioners their concerns with parking in Edgar Road, West Drayton.**
- 2. Subject to the outcome of the above, asks officers to add the request to the Council's extensive parking programme for further investigation.**

### **Reasons for recommendation**

The petition hearing will provide a valuable opportunity to hear directly from the petitioners of their concerns and suggestions.

## **Alternative options considered / risk management**

None at this stage.

## **Policy Overview Committee comments**

None at this stage.

## **3. INFORMATION**

### **Supporting Information**

1. A petition with 33 signatures has been submitted to the Council from residents who live in Edgar Road, Yiewsley asking for a Parking Management Scheme. The 33 signatures represent 25 out of the 66 properties in Edgar Road.
2. Edgar Road is a residential road which is only a short walk to the shops, station and other local amenities in West Drayton/Yiewsley Town Centres.
3. In an accompanying statement the lead petition outlines the problem as:
  - *Too little space for the number of residents' cars*
  - *Location close to West Drayton Train Station so commuters/shoppers leave their cars and walk to the station.*
  - *Location close to airport. People leave cars and get cans or on No.350 bus straight to airport. Cars left for weeks at a time.*
  - *Inconsiderate parking: - i.e. a number of large commercial vans which take up the space of two or more cars. In particular Rose Property Services which has a fleet of vans and parks them wherever it can over the weekend.*

*All this has been made worse by the recent introduction of a Parking Management Scheme on Colham Avenue which runs parallel with Edgar Road. We now have all the cars that were causing then problem there together with the cars belonging to the residents of Colham Avenue who don't wish to pay the permit fee for the second car. This results in Colham Avenue being nearly empty most of the time and people like myself unable to park in my own road.*

4. As the lead petitioner mentioned in their covering letter, the Cabinet Member will recall that Colham Avenue close to Edgar Road was included in an extension to the West Drayton Parking Management that was implemented in September 2014. It is therefore likely that some non-residential parking has been displaced to Edgar Road as this is now one of the closest unrestricted roads to the town centre. A location plan and the area covered by the existing Parking Management Scheme is attached as Appendix A to this report.
5. The Council's powers to control on-street parking are either to prohibit it with the introduction of yellow lines or to include it within a Residents' Permit Parking Scheme. It is clear from the petition that residents are requesting a Parking Management Scheme and logically this would suggest inclusion within the Yiewsley Parking Management Scheme. The Cabinet Member will, however, be aware that when these schemes are introduced, non-residential parking transfers as appears to be the case in this instance. The Cabinet Member may feel it

therefore prudent to ask officers to consult with Local Ward Councillors to determine if further roads in this area should be included in a possible future consultation

6. It is therefore recommended that the Cabinet Member discusses with petitioners their concerns and if considered appropriate, asks officers to add this request to the extensive parking scheme programme.

### **Financial Implications**

There are no financial implications associated with the recommendations to this report. If works are subsequently required, suitable funding will need to be identified within the parking programme.

## **4. EFFECT ON RESIDENTS, SERVICE USERS & COMMUNITIES**

### **What will be the effect of the recommendation?**

To allow the Cabinet Member an opportunity to discuss in detail with petitioners their concerns

### **Consultation Carried Out or Required**

None at this stage.

## **5. CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS**

### **Corporate Finance**

Corporate Finance has reviewed this report and concurs with the financial implications set out above.

### **Legal**

There are no special legal implications with the Cabinet Member to meet and discuss with petitioners their request for a Parking Management Scheme in Edgar Road, West Drayton and to consider recommendation 2 above. A meeting with the petitioners is perfectly legitimate as part of a listening exercise, especially where consideration of the policy, factual and engineering issues are still at a formative stage. Fairness and natural justice requires that there must be no predetermination of a decision in advance of any wider non-statutory consultation.

In considering any informal consultation responses, decision makers must ensure there is a full consideration of all representations arising including those which do not accord with the officer recommendation. The decision maker must be satisfied that responses from the public are conscientiously taken into account.

Should there be a decision that further measures are to be considered then the relevant statutory provisions will have to be identified and considered.

## **Corporate Property and Construction**

None at this stage.

## **Relevant Service Groups**

None at this stage.

## **6. BACKGROUND PAPERS**

None.